			UNIT	ED STA	TES DIS	TRICT CO		U.S. DISTR	ED ICT COURT
_					District of		NEBI	RAISTRICT OF	NEBRASKA
		UNITED STATI	ES OF AMERIC	CA				2007 NOV 21	PM 3: 50
		,	v.		OF	RDER OF DE	TENTIO	N.PENDING	TRIAL
			LOU ROCK		Case	RDER OF DE' 4:070	CR3155	OFFICE OF	THE CLERK
		Defe	endant						ं स्कृति
det	In a entio	ccordance with the Ban of the defendant per	ail Reform Act, 18 t nding trial in this ca	se.			I conclude th	at the following f	acts require the
Part I—Findings of Fact									
П	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.								
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in								
	(3)	a felony that wa § 3142(f)(1)(A). The offense describe A period of not more for the offense descr Findings Nos. (1), (2 safety of (an) other p	e than five years has ibed in finding (1). !) and (3) establish a	state or local of s committed what s elapsed since a rebuttable pro mmunity. I fur	offenses. The defendant with date of consumption that no construction that the defendant the defend	vas on release pend onviction rele ondition or combine fendant has not rele	ing trial for a ase of the de- ation of cond	federal, state or lefendant from impositions will reason	ocal offense. risonment
X	(1)	Thomasia muchah	la aguas to hali		ternative Findings	- /	cc		
ŕ	(1)	There is probab X for which a punder 18 U.S.C.	maximum term	of impriso	e defendant ha	s committed ai	n offense LS.C. Sec	c. 801 et seq.	
X	(2)	The defendant has no the appearance of the	ot rebutted the presur	mption establis	hed by finding 1 the ety of the commun	at no condition or co	ombination o	f conditions will re	easonably assure
					ternative Findings	(B)			
	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.							
	(4)	There is a scrious ris	k mai me defendam	i will chuanger	the safety of anoth	er person or the cor	nmunity.		
dera		d that the credible tes	timony and informa	tion submitted		asons for Detention Detention of the state o		rincing evidence	□ a prepon-
	_		<u> </u>	ica	nrg	7791e	ed	Fo des	tention
a	t	This	Lone						
				Part III—Di	rections Regardi	ng Detention			
reas Gov	ne ex onab ernm	defendant is committe tent practicable, from le opportunity for pri ent, the person in cha ction with a court pro-	persons awaiting ovate consultation ware of the correction	or serving sente ith defense cou	ences or being held insel. On order of	I in custody pending a court of the Unit	ig appeal. The States or	he defendant shal	ll be afforded a
	_/	11-21-0	7		1 Jaca	1/1	1/20	it	
		Date	,			Signature of Judio	cial Officer	- w	
						id L. Piester, U.S. I			
					λ	Tame and Title of Ju	idicial Office	r	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).